

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_112978
Title of the Manuscript:	“Exploring the Landscape of Entrepreneurial Behavior Among Farmers: A Bibliometric Analysis Using the Dimension Research Database”
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is very important for the scientific community as it opens up the conversation on the gaps related to entrepreneurial studies among farmers.</p> <p>Yes it is.</p> <p>The abstracts give the impression that the paper will discuss the entrepreneurial behavior of farmers as observed by various studies. This may be misleading and could be revised to reflect the actual essence of the paper.</p> <p>Yes they are.</p> <p>It is scientifically sound. The paper may benefit from additional information on the focus of the entrepreneurship studies by various authors.</p> <p>The authors may require additional references. These may entail details on what the entrepreneurship studies focused on and highlight any identified gaps for future studies.</p>	<p>I appreciate the reviewer's positive assessment of the manuscript's importance to the scientific community and agreement on the suitability of the title. I acknowledge the feedback on the abstract and will revise it to better reflect the paper's essence, avoiding any potential misunderstanding. Regarding the suggestion to include additional information on the focus of entrepreneurship studies and related references, I concur and will incorporate relevant details to enhance the paper's depth. Additionally, I will include the suggested references to address any identified gaps in the literature. The revised portions will be highlighted in the manuscript. Thank you for these valuable comments, and I am committed to improving the manuscript based on your insightful feedback.</p>
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>This manuscript requires minor revisions, especially on the citations.</p>	<p>I appreciate the feedback on the language quality and acknowledge the need for minor revisions, particularly regarding citations. I will carefully review and refine the manuscript, ensuring improved clarity and accuracy in the citation format. Thank you for highlighting this, and I am committed to enhancing the overall scholarly communication of the article.</p>
<p><b>Optional/General</b>comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	There are no identified ethical issues in the manuscript.